# THE JOURNAL OF PROSTHETIC DENTISTRY

## **CLINICAL SCIENCE**

# Clinical outcomes and success rates of quartz fiber post restorations: A retrospective study

Candida Parisi, DDS,<sup>a</sup> Luiz F. Valandro, DDS,<sup>b</sup> Leonardo Ciocca, DDS,<sup>c</sup> Maria R. A. Gatto,<sup>d</sup> and Paolo Baldissara, DDS<sup>e</sup>

The increasing demand for esthetics in restorative and prosthetic dentistry has limited the use of metal or opaque restorations; metal endodontic posts are being replaced with clear or white-colored fiber posts to better match translucent composite resins and ceramic materials. Quartz or pure silica fiber posts are clear, are transparent to visible light, and have high flexure strength.<sup>1,2</sup> Furthermore, the elastic modulus of quartz fiber posts is low (49 GPa) compared with carbon, metal, or ceramic ones (110 to 200 GPa).<sup>3</sup> The lower elastic modulus reduces stress concentration on the root dentin and the percentage of unrecoverable failures due to root fracture.4-8

### ABSTRACT

**Statement of problem.** Cast metal posts and dowels are inherently dark and, when metal-free restorations are used, could impair the definitive esthetic appearance. Quartz fiber posts could represent a reliable choice for restoring abutment teeth.

**Purpose.** The purpose of this study was to evaluate the long-term success rate of teeth restored with quartz fiber posts and fixed dental prostheses (FDPs).

**Material and methods.** Ninety-nine teeth restored with 114 quartz fiber posts and FDPs were evaluated. The evaluation time ranged from 7 months to 9.25 years. The Kaplan-Meier method was used to obtain success curves. The influence of the tooth location, definitive restoration, and failure pattern upon the success function was analyzed with the log-rank test. The Cox regression test was used to evaluate possible predictors among the interactions of the observed parameters.

**Results.** The success rate of the restorations was 85.86% in a mean period of 5.88  $\pm$ 1.37 years, with an estimated success probability of 85% at 6.17 years. The statistical analysis identified the factors related to the arch (*P*=.045) and type of definitive restoration (*P*=.021) as significantly associated with success. Post debonding was the most frequent failure mode, followed by endodontic failure, with the latter not necessarily being related to the post itself. No root fractures were recorded. Twelve teeth out of the 14 that failed were restored again, bringing the overall survival rate of the teeth to 98%.

**Conclusions.** The rehabilitation of abutment teeth with quartz fiber posts can be considered a reliable procedure; however, adhesive techniques and luting materials require improvement. (J Prosthet Dent 2015;**E**:**E**-**E**)

Adhesive bonding techniques, treatments of the post surface, and application of precise guidelines have allowed fiber posts to compete with the conventional cast metal posts and dowels in the restoration of endodontically treated teeth.<sup>9</sup> Longitudinal studies<sup>10,11</sup>

suggest that the lower elastic modulus reduces the cause of failure by root fracture but increases the debonding of the restoration interfaces and subsequent bacterial microleakage.<sup>12-14</sup> Such failures and the caries that follow could be related to the low elastic modulus

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>a</sup>Tutor, DIBINEM, School of Dentistry, Unit of Odontostomatological Sciences, Division of Prosthodontics, Alma Mater Studiorum, University of Bologna, Bologna, Italy. <sup>b</sup>Professor, Faculty of Dentistry, Federal University of Santa Maria, Rio Grande do Sul, Brazil.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>c</sup>Researcher, DIBINEM, School of Dentistry, Unit of Odontostomatological Sciences - Division of Prosthodontics, Alma Mater Studiorum, University of Bologna, Bologna, Italy. <sup>d</sup>Aggregate Professor, Medical Statistics Course, DIBINEM, Unit of Odontostomatological Sciences, Division of Orthodontics and Gnathology, Alma Mater Studiorum, University of Bologna, Italy.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>e</sup>Aggregate Professor, Dental Materials Course; DIBINEM, Unit of Odontostomatological Sciences, Division of Prosthodontics, Alma Mater Studiorum, University of Bologna, Bologna, Italy.

### **Clinical Implications**

Quartz fiber light-conductive posts are advantageous in metal-free restoration because they do not interfere with the definitive esthetic appearance and allow greater polymerizing light transfer to the luting material and adhesive.

of certain fiber post brands, particularly those made of E-glass fibers.<sup>15-18</sup> However, debonding is the most frequent failure reported for fiber post restorations.<sup>10,12,13,19-23</sup>

The cement type and cementation techniques adopted to create a bond between the post and the tooth structure are considered significant factors in determining the long-term success of endodontically treated teeth.<sup>24,25</sup> Resin cements are reported to provide adequate post-dentin bond strength, which may be increased when self-adhesive resin cements are used.<sup>26,27</sup>

Despite the increasing use of clear-colored and lightconductive fiber posts, few longitudinal studies of this type of restoration have been performed, particularly over the medium and long term.<sup>19-21,28-30</sup> Ferrari et al<sup>19</sup> analyzed different types of carbon and quartz fiber posts and demonstrated a low failure rate of 3.2% at 6 years, with no failures due to root fracture. Studies on glass fiber posts reported a failure rate of 12.8% at 2 years, 20.1% at 5 years, and 32.5% at 6.5 years.<sup>22,23,31</sup>

The purpose of this study was to evaluate the success rate of endodontically treated teeth restored with translucent light-conductive quartz fiber posts within a period of 7 months to 9.25 years. The influence of tooth location, type of definitive restoration, and failure pattern on survival rate were also evaluated. The null hypothesis was that the success rate would be independent of these parameters.

### **MATERIAL AND METHODS**

In this retrospective cohort study, all the patients who received at least 1 quartz fiber post (Light-Post; RTD) from one of the authors (P.B.) were contacted for a clinical recall. Sixty-one patients responded and signed an informed consent form in accordance with the guidelines for clinical research in the declaration of Helsinki. A total of 99 teeth, 29 anteriors (incisors and canines) and 70 posteriors (premolars and molars), received 114 quartz fiber posts. The restorations were performed following the clinical procedures recommended by the post manufacturer (RTD), observing the guidelines reported by Peroz et al<sup>32</sup> with regard to the amount of coronal tooth structure, canal enlargement, and ferrule height of the definitive preparation.<sup>33</sup>

Generally, teeth having 1 or no residual wall always received a post, whereas teeth having 2 to 4 residual walls received the post only if the wall thickness was less than 1 mm.<sup>9</sup> The root canals were not enlarged to avoid root weakening. The coronal preparations were carried out to obtain a ferrule height of at least 1.5 mm on the whole circumference of the tooth. Each root received endodontic treatment, and the canals were sealed using the vertical condensation technique.<sup>34</sup>

Within 7 to 14 days after the endodontic treatment, the posts were inserted with rubber dam isolation. The canals were prepared with preshaping drills of the appropriate size (RTD) for the removal of gutta percha, maintaining an apical seal of at least 3 to 4 mm.<sup>35-37</sup> Subsequently, the root canal was only slightly shaped with the finishing drills (RTD) in accordance with the minimal intervention guidelines,<sup>38</sup> and the post corresponding to the drill used was chosen. The tooth was etched for 15 seconds with 32% phosphoric acid (Uni-Etch; Bisco Inc), washed with water spray, and dried with paper points. Finally, the post was cemented with a bonding system (All-Bond 2; Bisco Inc), a resin cement (C&B selfpolymerizing resin cement; Bisco Inc); and a composite resin (BisCore; Bisco, Inc) was used for core restoration. As a definitive restoration, the teeth received single crowns<sup>39</sup> or fixed dental prostheses (FDPs). The prostheses were cemented with glass ionomer cement (Fuji Plus; GC Corp), following the manufacturer's instructions.

The restoration was defined as successful if it satisfied the following conditions detected with an intraoral radiographic examination, mirror, explorer, and periodontal probe<sup>40</sup>: absence of subjective or persistent complaints (pain, foreign body sensation, and/or dysesthesia); no radiolucency around the root; no bone defects radiographically detected; absence of marginal openings at the tooth/restoration interfaces; no debonding of the restoration; no root, post, or restoration fracture; and no secondary caries. On the basis of these criteria, the survival statistics described relate to the success of the restorations.<sup>41,42</sup>

The date of the post placement was considered as the baseline for computing the restoration endurance. A restoration was deemed failed when at least 1 of the aforementioned clinical conditions occurred, necessitating tooth repair or extraction. The teeth were declared as survived when the restorations were successful or, although failed, a new restoration was placed to replace the failed one. The time to failure or the last recall to which the restoration was successful was recorded in months, rounded down to the nearest month. One experienced operator (C.P.), other than the one who prepared the restorations, performed the clinical and radiographic evaluation.

Success curves and statistical comparisons were calculated considering the tooth location in the maxillary

or mandibular arch and in the anterior or posterior position in the arch, type of definitive restoration (single crowns, multiunit FDPs, or partial removable dental prosthesis abutment [PRDPA] crowns), and failure patterns.

The statistical analysis was performed using the success proportions obtained (14%), and a sample size was calculated a posteriori. By evaluating the success proportions of the previous studies reported in Table 1, a reference value of 7% was considered appropriate. A maximum permitted difference for considering the percentage equivalent to the known was estimated as 20%. In these conditions, a minimum sample size of 93 teeth was calculated with a power of 90% ( $\alpha$ =.01).<sup>43</sup> Frequency distributions were used to describe categorical data, which were compared with the chi-square test. Data were summarized with median and interquartile range, proportion, and 95% confidence intervals. Success functions of the restorations were estimated nonparametrically according to the Kaplan-Meier method. The log-rank test was used to analyze the influence of tooth location (maxillary or mandibular arch, anterior or posterior position in the arch), type of definitive restoration, and failure pattern upon the success function. Cox-regression, accomplished using the backward method, was then performed to identify the possible predictors among the interactions of the observed parameters. A level of significance of  $\alpha$ =.05 was adopted.

### RESULTS

At the clinical and radiographic examinations, 14 (14.14%) failures out of the 99 treated teeth were recorded, 12 of which occurred between 21 and 74 months after the post's insertion. The other 2 failures occurred at 7 and at 96 months. Twelve failed teeth were recovered and restored again; the 2 remaining failed teeth, both initially restored with single crowns, were extracted because of nonrestorable root dentin caries. The Kaplan-Meier survival (success) curve showed that an estimated 85% of the teeth restored with quartz fiber posts were successful after a period of 74 months (95% CI, 0.772-0.928) (Fig. 1). The estimated median success time was 72 months (success rate 0.475 ±0.05; 95% CI, 0.376-0.573). The estimated median success time of the 14 failed teeth was 52 months (interguartile range: 25-64 months). The average annual failure rate was 2  $\pm 1\%$ . Tables 2, 3 summarize the recorded failures and statistical results according to the tooth location (maxillary or mandibular arch and anterior or posterior position in the arch), type of definitive restoration, and failure patterns.

Comparing success probabilities as a function of the location in the maxillary or mandibular arch, a statistically significant difference was found (P=.045): the teeth

Table 1. Longitudinal studies reporting follow-up time and failure rates of quartz fiber posts

Author	Follow-up Time (y)	Number of Teeth	Failure Occurrence	Post Fiber Material
Ferrari et al <sup>19</sup>	6	1304	3.2%	Quartz, carbon, and hybrids
Malferrari et al <sup>28</sup>	2.5	180	1.7%	Quartz
Monticelli et al <sup>20</sup>	2.7	225	6.2%	Quartz, glass
Ferrari et al <sup>29</sup>	7	985	7-11% 7% Quartz	Quartz, carbon, and hybrids
Ghavamnasiri et al <sup>30</sup>	1-6	43	51.2%	Quartz
Cagidiaco et al <sup>21</sup>	2	162	7.3%	Quartz



Figure 1. Kaplan-Meier success curve at 74 months (6.17 years) estimated 85% of teeth restored with quartz fiber posts were successful (95% confidence interval: 0.772-0.928).

**Table 2.** Failure distribution of teeth restored with quartz fiber posts according to their location (n = number of teeth)

Variable	Anteriors	%	Posteriors	%	<b>Total Failures</b>	%
Maxillary arch	4 (n=28)	14.3	8 (n=29)	27.6	12 (n=57)	21.0
Mandibular arch	0 (n=1)	0	2 (n=41)	4.9	2 (n=42)	4,8
Total failures	4 (n=29)	13.8	10 (n=70)	14.3	14 (n=99)	14.1

positioned in the mandibular arch showed a success of 94.7% after 64 months compared with 83.7% for the teeth in the maxillary arch after the same period. No significant differences (P=1.000) were observed when investigating the success rate as a function of the position in the arch: the teeth positioned in the anterior arch presented a success probability of 85.8% after 60 months compared with 89.7% for teeth positioned in the posterior arch after the same period. Comparing the type of definitive restoration, the estimated success function presented a statistically significant difference with regard to PRDPA (P=.021). The success probability after 64 months was 88% for a single crown, 94.8% for multiunit FDPs, and only 50% for PRDPA. Teeth restored with

Table 3. Descriptive	parameters and	statistical	comparisons,	(α=.05)
----------------------	----------------	-------------	--------------	---------

Description	No. of Restored Teeth	Failures (relative %)	Р
Arch			
Maxillary	57	12 (21.1)	.045
Mandibular	42	2 (4.8)	
Tooth position in the arch			
Anterior (incisors/canines)	29	4 (13.8)	1.000
Posterior (premolars/molars)	70	10 (14.3)	
Restoration type			
Single crowns	54	8 (14.8)	.021
Multiunit fixed dental prostheses	39	3 (7.7)	
Partial removable dental prosthesis abutment	6	3 (50)	
Failure pattern			
Debonding	9	(64.3)	.001
Secondary caries	2	(14.3)	
Endodontic lesion	3	(21.4)	

single crowns showed a significantly higher (P=.021)failure rate than teeth restored with multiunit FDPs: 14.8% vs 7.7%, (Table 3). Of the 8 single crown failures, 3 failed because of the presence of a periapical lesion, 1 because of secondary caries, and 4 because of debonding of the post. A statistically significant difference (P=.001) was observed for the failure pattern (endodontic lesion, secondary caries, debonding): considering the 2 most frequent modalities, after 64 months the probability of endodontic failures was 67% and debonding was 89%. Cox regression evidenced no significant predictors among the single parameters, but some significant interactions were observed. The strongest interaction involved arch (maxillary or mandibular) and position in the arch (anterior or posterior) (Exp(B)=1.896, P=.018). It denoted a significant association between failures and posterior teeth of the maxillary arch with an increase of 89.6% in the possibility of failure for this tooth location.

### DISCUSSION

The failures were evaluated with respect to several parameters: arch, (maxillary or mandibular), position in the arch (anterior or posterior), type of definitive restoration, and mode of failure. The null hypothesis that the success rate of quartz fiber post restorations is independent of these parameters was rejected. The statistical analysis identified the interaction of the factors related to "arch" and "position in the arch" with the success rate. The teeth in the maxillary arch showed a statistically higher failure rate than those in the mandibular arch. These data are in agreement with a study on different fiber post types,<sup>29</sup> which also showed increased maxillary failure rates and increased maxillary failures in the posterior teeth. The latter finding was also confirmed in the present study: among the 12 failures in



with debonding of partial removable dental prosthesis abutments crown, microleakage, and secondary caries. Both teeth were successfully restored again. the maxillary arch, more failures occurred in the pos-

Figure 2. Restoration failure on maxillary right canine and lateral incisor

terior than the anterior teeth, at a ratio of 2 to 1 (Table 2). In a previous retrospective study,<sup>30</sup> the dental arch was found to be a significant predictive factor of failure, with teeth in the maxillary arch having a higher failure rate than teeth in the mandibular arch, as in the present study.

Conflicting observations have been reported, with some studies showing a greater percentage of failures in the anterior sector than the posterior,<sup>23,31</sup> while others have reported the opposite.29,39 Theoretically, a higher incidence of failures in the anterior teeth can be explained by the different forces acting on them. The anterior elements are subject to moment forces greater than those acting on the posterior teeth, where masticatory loads more often generate compression stresses; fatigue fractures are predominantly caused by tensile stress, seldom by compression.<sup>23,41</sup> However, the greater failure rate of posterior restorations has been related to the increased difficulties that arise during endodontic treatment and post placement in multirooted teeth.<sup>24,31</sup> The high occurrence of the fiber post debonding recorded in this study agrees with the findings reported in previous studies.<sup>24,31</sup>

Single crowns were found to fail more frequently than multiunit FDP restorations, and failures were often due to post debonding. The masticatory forces acting on single crowns result in buccolingual forces,<sup>31</sup> and these may explain their greater post detachment. The lower success rate recorded for PRDPAs agrees with previously published studies, 12, 23, 31, 41, 42 and probably reflects the greater stresses acting on the PRDPAs during mastication or the insertion/removal of the prosthesis.

In agreement with previous studies, 10, 12, 13, 19-23 debonding of the post and secondary caries was the most common failure mode recorded in this study (Fig. 2). The absence of an adequate ferrule effect promotes debonding.<sup>21</sup> The amount of coronal tissue loss has been shown to be a relevant factor in predicting tooth failure.32,33

The literature lacks studies that can establish with certainty the specific factors that contribute to failure of a fiber post restoration.44 However, maximizing dental tissue preservation and interface adhesion and providing a ferrule represent the best clinical options when restoring endodontically treated teeth with fiber posts.

### **CONCLUSIONS**

On the basis of the clinical data obtained, this study suggests that the rehabilitation of endodontically treated teeth with light-transmitting quartz fiber posts and FDPs could be performed with a high success rate of 85% within a period of  $5.88 \pm 1.37$  years.

Favorable failure patterns, such as restoration detachments with no root fracture, allowed the recovery of 12 of 14 teeth with failed restorations, bringing the final survival rate of the teeth to more than 98%.

The high prevalence of debonding failures observed suggests that dentin adhesives and cementation techniques need to be improved. The significant association found between failures and posterior teeth of the maxillary arch (P=.018) also suggests the use of simplified and less technique-sensitive cementation procedures.

### REFERENCES

- 1. Galhano GA, Valandro LF, de Melo RM, Scotti R, Bottino MA. Evaluation of the flexural strength of carbon fiber-, quartz fiber-, and glass fiber-based posts. J Endod 2005;31:209-11.
- Zicari F, Coutinho E, Scotti R, Van Meerbeek B, Naert I. Mechanical properties and micro-morphology of fiber posts. Dent Mater 2013;29: 45-52.
- 3. Stewardson DA, Shortall AC, Marquis PM, Lumley PJ. The flexural properties of endodontic post materials. Dent Mater 2010;26:730-6. Newman MP, Yaman P, Dennison J, Rafter M, Billy E. Fracture resistance of
- endodontically treated teeth restored with composite post. J Prosthet Dent 2003:89:360-7
- Sherfudhin H, Hobeich J, Carvalho CA, Aboushelib MN, Sadig W, 5. Salameh Z. Effect of different ferrule designs on the fracture resistance and failure pattern of endodontically treated teeth restored with fiber posts and all-ceramic crowns. J Appl Oral Sci 2011;19:28-33.
- Bonfante G, Kaizer OB, Pegoraro LF, do Valle AL. Fracture strength of teeth 6. with flared root canals restored with glass fibre posts. Int Dent J 2007;57 153-60
- 7. Pereira JR, Lins do Valle A, Shiratori FK, Ghizoni JS, Bonfante EA. The effect of post material on the characteristic strength of fatigued endodontically treated teeth. J Prosthet Dent 2014;112:1225-30.
- Torres-Sánchez C, Montoya-Salazar V, Córdoba P, Vélez C, Guzmán-Duran A, Gutierrez-Pérez JL, et al. Fracture resistance of endodontically treated teeth restored with glass fiber reinforced posts and cast gold post and cores cemented with three cements. J Prosthet Dent 2013;110:127-33.
- Sorrentino R, Monticelli F, Goracci C, Zarone F, Tay FR, Garcia-Godoy F, et al. Effect of post-retained composite restorations and amount of coronal residual structure on the fracture resistance of endodontically-treated teeth. Am J Dent 2007;20:269-74.
- 10. Dietschi D, Duc O, Krejci I, Sadan A. Biomechanical considerations for the restoration of endodontically treated teeth: a systematic review of the literature, Part II (Evaluation of fatigue behavior, interfaces, and in vivo studies). Quintessence Int 2008;39:117-29.
- 11. Mannocci F, Qualtrough AJ, Worthington HV, Watson TF, Pitt Ford TR. Randomized clinical comparison of endodontically treated teeth restored with amalgam or with fiber posts and resin composite: five-year results. Oper Dent 2005;30:9-15.

- 12. Caplan DJ, Kolker J, Rivera EM, Walton RE. Relationship between number of proximal contacts and survival of root canal treated teeth. Int Endod J 2002;35:193-9.
- 13. Cagidiaco MC, Goracci C, Garcia-Godoy F, Ferrari M. Clinical studies of fiber oosts: a literature review. Int J Prosthodont 2008;21:328-36.
- 14. Musikant BL, Cohen BI, Deutsch AS. The relationship of post design to the long-term success of endodontically restored teeth. Compend Contin Educ Dent 2001;22:974-6, 978, 980.
- 15. Baldissara P, Di Grazia V, Palano A, Ciocca L. Fatigue resistance of restored endodontically treated teeth: a multiparametric analysis. Int J Prosthodont 2006:19:25-7
- 16. Libman WJ, Nicholls JI. Load fatigue of teeth restored with post and cores and complete crowns. Int J Prosthodont 1995;8:155-61. 17. Lassila LV, Tanner J, Le Bell AM, Narva K, Vallittu PK. Flexural properties of
- fiber reinforced root canal posts. Dent Mater 2004;20:29-36.
- 18. Durmuş G, Oyar P. Effects of post core materials on stress distribution in the restoration of mandibular second premolars: a finite element analysis J Prosthet Dent 2014;112:547-54.
- 19. Ferrari M, Vichi A, Mannocci F, Mason PN. Retrospective study of the clinical performance of fiber posts. Am J Dent 2000;13(Spec No.):9B-13B.
- Monticelli F, Grandini S, Goracci C, Ferrari M. Clinical behaviour of 20. translucent-fiber posts: a 2-year prospective study. Int J Prosthodont 2003;16: 593-6
- 21. Cagidiaco MC, Radovic I, Simonetti M, Tay F, Ferrari M. Clinical performance of fiber post restorations in endodontically treated teeth: 2-year results. Int J Prosthodont 2007;20:293-8.
- 22. Naumann M, Blankenstein F, Dietrich T. Survival of glass fibre reinforced composite post restorations after 2 years-an observational clinical study. Dent 2005;33:305-12.
- 23. Naumann M, Blankenstein F, Kiessling S, Dietrich T. Risk factors for failure of glass fiber-reinforced composite post restorations: a prospective observational clinical study. Eur J Oral Sci 2005;113:519-24.
- Shiratori FK, Valle AL, Pegoraro TA, Carvalho RM, Pereira JR. Influence of 24. technique and manipulation on self-adhesive resin cements used to cement intraradicular posts. J Prosthet Dent 2013;110:56-60.
- 25. Suzuki TY, Gomes-Filho JE, Gallego J, Pavan S, Dos Santos PH, Fraga Briso AL. Mechanical properties of components of the bonding interface in different regions of radicular dentin surfaces. J Prosthet Dent 2015;113: 54-61.
- 26. Pereira JR, Lins do Valle A, Ghizoni JS, Lorenzoni FC, Ramos MB, Dos Reis Só MV. Push-out bond strengths of different dental cements used to cement glass fiber posts. J Prosthet Dent 2013;110:134-40.
- 27. Aleisa K, Al-Dwairi ZN, Alghabban R, Goodacre CJ. Effect of luting agents on the tensile bond strength of glass fiber posts: an in vitro study. J Prosthet Dent 2013;110:216-22
- 28. Malferrari S, Monaco C, Scotti R. Clinical evaluation of teeth restored with quartz fiber-reinforced epoxy resin posts. Int J Prosthodont 2003;16: 39-44.
- Ferrari M, Cagidiaco MC, Goracci C, Vichi A, Mason PM, Radovic I, et al. 29 Long-term retrospective study of the clinical performance of fiber posts. Am J Dent 2007;20:287-91.
- 30. Ghavamnasiri M, Maleknejad F, Ameri H, Moghaddas MJ, Farzaneh F, Chasteen JE. A retrospective clinical evaluation of success rate in endodontictreated premolars restored with composite resin and fiber reinforced composite posts. J Conserv Dent 2011;14:378-82.
- 31. Naumann M, Reich S, Nothdurft FP, Beuer F, Schirrmeister JF, Dietrich T. Survival of glass fiber post restorations over 5 years. Am J Dent 2008;21: 267-72
- 32. Peroz I, Blankenstein F, Lange KP, Naumann M. Restoring endodontically treated teeth with posts and cores-a review. Ouintessence Int 2005:36: 737-46.
- 33. Schmitter M, Rammelsberg P, Lenz J, Scheuber S, Schweizerhof K, Rues S. Teeth restored using fiber-reinforced posts: in vitro fracture tests and finite element analysis. Acta Biomater 2010;6:3747-54.
- 34. Fleming CH, Litaker MS, Alley LW, Eleazer PD. Comparison of classic endodontic techniques versus contemporary techniques on endodontic treatment success. J Endod 2010;36:414-8.
- 35. Mattinson GD, Delivanis PD, Thacker RW Jr, Hassell KJ. Effect of post preparation on the apical seal. J Prosthet Dent 1984;51:785-9.
- Zicari F, Van Meerbeek B, Scotti R, Naert I. Effect of fibre post length and 36 adhesive strategy on fracture resistance of endodontically treated teeth after fatigue loading. J Dent 2012;40:312-21.
- 37. Franco EB, Lins do Valle A, Pompéia Fraga de Almeida AL, Rubo JH, Pereira JR. Fracture resistance of endodontically treated teeth restored with glass fiber posts of different lengths. J Prosthet Dent 2014;111:30-4.
- 38. Büttel L, Krastl G, Lorch H, Naumann M, Zitzmann NU, Weiger R. Influence of post fit and post length on fracture resistance. Int Endod J 2009;42:47-53.
- 39 Aquilino SA, Caplan DJ. Relationship between crown placement and the survival of endodontically treated teeth. J Prosthet Dent 2002;87:256-63.
- Hickel R, Roulet JF, Bayne S, Heintze SD, Mjör IA, Peters M, et al. Recommendations for conducting controlled clinical studies of dental restorative materials. Science Committee Project 2/98-FDI World Dental Federation

study design (Part I) and criteria for evaluation (Part II) of direct and indirect restorations including onlays and partial crowns. J Adhes Dent 2007;9(suppl1):121-47.

- 2007;9(suppl1):121-47.
  Torbjöner A, Karlsson S, Ödman PA. Survival rate and failure characteristics for two post designs. J Prosthet Dent 1995;73:439-44.
  Wegner PK, Freitag S, Kern M. Survival rate of endodontically treated teeth with posts after prosthetic restoration. J Endod 2006;32:928-31.
  Fleiss JL, Levin B, Cho Paik M. Statistical methods for rates and proportions. 3rd ed. New York: Wiley; 2003:49.
  Bitter K, Noetzel J, Stamm O, Vaudt J, Mejer-Leuckel H, Neumann K, et al. Randomized clinical trial comparing the effects of post placement on failure rate of postendodontic restorations: preliminary results of a mean period of 32 months. J Endod 2009;35:1477-82.

### Corresponding author:

Dr Candida Parisi University of Bologna Via S. Vitale 59 - 40125 Bologna ITALY Email: candida.parisi@unibo.it

Acknowledgments The authors thank Dr Marianne Ariganello (University of Montreal, Montréal, Québec, Canada) for her kind assistance with the English draft.

Copyright © 2015 by the Editorial Council for The Journal of Prosthetic Dentistry.